UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

MARTIN ROBINSON,

Plaintiff.

Case No. 2:21-cv-774

Judge Sarah D. Morrison

Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

LONNIE BUTLER, et al.,

Defendants.

ORDER

Plaintiff objects to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (R&R) recommending denial of his Rule 60 motion. (ECF Nos. 85, 86, 88.) If a party objects to a R&R, the Court "shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Upon review, the Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Court has carefully reviewed the R&R and Plaintiff's objections; the issues raised have been correctly addressed by the Magistrate Judge. The Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff's Objection (ECF No. 88) and **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's R&R (ECF No. 86). Plaintiff's Rule 60 motion (ECF No. 85) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Sarah D. Morrison

SARAH D. MORRISON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE